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#### Abstract

The syntheses of certain 3-X-bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic acids ([1.1.0] 1; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), cis- (cis 4; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) and trans-1,2-dimethyl-2-X-cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acids (trans $5 ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), are reported. The thermodynamic $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were determined for these compounds as well as the related derivatives of 5 -X-bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxylic acid ([3.1.0] 3; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) and 4-X-bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane-1-carboxylic acid ( $[2.1 .0] 2 ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CN}$, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) previously syntheized, as were the $\mathrm{p} K_{2}$ values for the dicarboxylic acids. Plots of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values vs. $\sigma_{1}$ substituent constants for these five series of acids, and of the substituent effects in the cis $\mathbf{4},[3,1.0] 3,[2.1 .0] 2$, and [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ series relative to that same substituent's effect in the trans 5 series where intramolecular hydrogen bonding is not possible are developed and discussed. In general, intramolecular hydrogen bonding in these four series of acids, $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$, was at a maximum in the [2.1.0] 2 series and minimal in the [1.1.0] 1 series. This is unusual since intramolecular hydrogen bonding was predicted to be the greatest in the [1.1.0] 1 series on the basis of the distance separating $X$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ at the bridgeheads. This anomaly was resolved by considering a strong ring $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bond interaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ carbonyl carbon stabilizing the perpendicular conformer (15). This approach was supported by INDO MO calculations on bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic acid and its carboxylate anion where the perpendicular conformations were preferred in both structures by 5.2 and $3.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. A related but attenuated effect was presented to explain the lower than predicted acidity of cyclopropanecarboxylic acid which was used to discuss the substituent effects in the trans 5 and cis 4 series.


In the area of structure-property relationships of aliphatic compounds, the change in the acidities of carboxylic acids with structural variations continues to be a frequently used probe. The effects of remote, nonconjugated substituent groups on the reaction center have been examined by both the inductive and field effect models. ${ }^{2}$ The results of various recently reported studies with several polycyclic systems ${ }^{2,3}$ lead to the
conclusion that the field model, not the inductive model, accurately describes the mechanism by which these substituent effects are transmitted. Correlations of such substituent effects with the empirical parameter $\sigma_{1}$ have been successful, although the precise meaning of these correlations has been controversial.

From the above brief discussion we can conclude that the
roles of remote, nonconjugated substituent effects on reactivity of a reaction center are predictable and reasonably well understood. However, when we venture into the area of more proximate substituent effects we are immediately impressed with a wealth of controversial effects where qualitative arguments serve at best. Depending on the structure of the substrate carboxylic acid being considered, a number of factors may be considered in the total proximity effect by substituents: e.g., (1) electronic effect, (2) steric inhibition of resonance, (3) steric inhibition of solvation, and (4) intramolecular hydrogen bonding.

When considering proximity effects in carboxylic acid dissociation, one generally calls upon the ionization constant data determined in a variety of substrate types, ortho-substituted benzoic acids ${ }^{4}$ (ortho effect), substituted acetic,supc $4 \mathrm{a}, 5$ malonic, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ succinic, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a} .6}$ and glutaric acids, ${ }^{4 a} 3$-substituted and 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic acids, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}, 7}$ disubstituted maleic acids, ${ }^{4 a, 8}$ and substituted acrylic acids. ${ }^{4 a, 9}$

Our general interests in the chemistry of strained ring systems led us to consider how substituent effects might manifest themselves in the series of acids $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ where $n$ could be varied

from 1 to 3. Additional perturbations on these proximity effects would involve not only a changing distance of separation between $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and X , but, likewise, a changing hybridization at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ bearing the acid function. Also, the structures of derivatives of 1-3 might be obtained in order to evaluate, at least qualitatively, observed intramolecular hydrogen bonding vs. other effects.

The cis- (4) and trans-1,2-dimethyl-2-X-cyclopropane1 -carboxylic acids (5) would be used as comparison standards. In the relatively unstrained cis $\mathbf{4}$ series, the X -substituent should be closer to the carboxylic acid group than in 1-3. The trans 5 series was chosen because here the X and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ groups were too far from each other to directly interact (e.g., hydrogen bonding), while the same basic structural unit, the cyclopropane ring, was retained for potential electronic interaction between X and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.

## Substrate Syntheses

Following the results of previous investigations, the substituents $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{-3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$are generally studied in projects of this nature, and standard synthetic routes to these derivatives are available. ${ }^{10}$

The syntheses of the derivatives of the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{11}$ and the [2,1.0] 2 series ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CN}$, and $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{12}$ have been reported.

In the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ series, the monocarboxylic acid $(X=H)$ has been prepared and its acidity measured. ${ }^{13}$ To obtain the remaining derivatives in this series it appeared most reasonable to approach this by way of a base-induced 1,3-elimination from a dimethyl 1-halocyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylate (6), Dressel ${ }^{14}$ had reported that reaction of tetraethyl propane-1,1,3,3-tetracarboxylate and methylene iodide with base yields tetraethyl cyclobutane-1,1,3,3-tetracarboxylate (7), a potential precursor to 6 . However, in our hands this reaction failed to produce any 7.

We then carried through the reported synthesis of the tetraacid of $7^{15 a}$ as described by Allinger. ${ }^{15 b}$ Double decarboxylation gave the cis- and trans-cyclobutane-1,3-dicar-
boxylic acids (8). Treatment of this cis-trans mixture of $\mathbf{8}$ with acetyl chloride converted cis-8 to the anhydride; trans-8- and cis-8-anhydride were readily separated by distillation. Treatment of cis-8-anhydride with methanol gave the halfmethyl ester 9 which was converted to $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ by the Hell-Volhard-elinskii reaction. ${ }^{16}$


As this sequence was being completed, Drs. C. D, Smith and S. C. Cherkofsky ${ }^{17}$ informed us of their alternate route to 6 (X $=\mathrm{Cl})^{18}$ and supplied us with a sample of this compound. In our hands, $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ gave dimethyl bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1,3dicarboxylate ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ ) in $77-80 \%$ yield ( $61 \%$ reported) ${ }^{18}$ when

allowed to react with sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran at 45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Similar reaction conditions with $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ gave 10 in $45 \%$ yield, and it was observed that this reaction proceeded surprisingly somewhat slower than that of the chloro analogue of $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$. The remaining derivatives in the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ series were prepared by the routes shown in Scheme I.


Since the Hunsdiecker reaction had failed to give methyl 4-bromobicyclo[2.1,0]pentane-1-carboxylate from 2 ( $\mathrm{X}=$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}$ probably due to reaction of the strained ring system with bromine, the analogous reaction with half-ester 1 (X $=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) was not attempted. When amide-ester $\mathbf{1 1}$ was treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ the product did not have the [1.1.0] structure based on the IR and NMR spectra and was assigned the cyclobutane structure 12, the product of addition of hydrogen chlorine to the zero bridge. Reaction of a small amount of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran gave 13 in $45 \%$ yield. Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1 3}$ gave impure cyano acid $\mathbf{1}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN})$ which resisted purification by recrystallization. The impure $1(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN})$ also a ppeared to decompose on standing; thus its preparation was not repeated since determination of "its $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ " would most likely have been unreliable.

A mixture of the methyl esters of 4 and $\mathbf{5}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ was obtained by photolysis of the mixed pyrazolines obtained from the reaction of diazoethane to methyl methacrylate. ${ }^{19}$ The esters were separated by a combination of distillation and preparative GLPC giving the methyl ester of 4 in $94 \%$ purity and the methyl ester of $5(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ in $96 \%$ purity; the major impurity in each was the other isomer. Hydrolysis of each gave the respective acids whose purity was assumed to be that of the starting ester.

Dimethyl cis- and trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2dicarboxylates (14) were prepared by the reaction of methyl methacrylate, methyl $\alpha$-chloropropionate, and sodium hydride

Table I. Thermodynamic $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values of Certain 2-Substituted Cyclopropane-1-carboxylic Acids in Water at $25.00 \pm 0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{a}$

| X |  |  | $\underbrace{-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}_{[3.1 .0] 3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $4.964 \pm 0.003$ | $5.171 \pm 0.004$ | $5.066 \pm 0.003$ | $4.696 \pm 0.007$ | $4.53 \pm 0.1 c$ |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $4.102 \pm 0.005$ | $b$ | $4.778 \pm 0.004$ | $3.962 \pm 0.010$ | $3.727 \pm 0.013$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $3.932 \pm 0.009$ | $4.152 \pm 0.006$ | $4.154 \pm 0.007$ | $4.168 \pm 0.007$ | $3.316 \pm 0.011$ |
| Br | $3.777 \pm 0.008$ | $3.895 \pm 0.007$ | $4.215 \pm 0.004$ | $b$ | $b$ |
| CN | $3.430 \pm 0.012$ | $b$ | $3.903 \pm 0.006$ | $3.581 \pm 0.011$ | $b$ |
| $\mathrm{COOH}^{\text {d }}$ | $3.631 \pm 0.002$ | $4.128 \pm 0.006$ | $3.306 \pm 0.018$ | $2.767 \pm 0.035$ | $3.176 \pm 0.003$ |
| $\mathrm{COO}^{-d}$ | $5.232 \pm 0.003$ | $6.531 \pm 0.002$ | $7.081 \pm 0.005$ | $7.294 \pm 0.006$ | $4.964 \pm 0.022$ |

${ }^{a}$ Concentrations used in potentiometric titrations: $3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ acid; $3 \times 10^{-2}$ base. ${ }^{b}$ These compounds not available synthetically. ${ }^{c}$ Literature value. ${ }^{13} d$ Not statistically corrected.
in dimethylformamide; ${ }^{20}$ the cis-14/trans-14 ratio was about 0,5 . Fractional distillation separated these isomers, the lower boiling component being trans-14. These were the key compounds in the preparation of the desired derivatives of acids 4 and 5 which were prepared by conventional procedures. ${ }^{10-12}$

While all of the desired derivatives were available in the trans 5 series, several problems arose in the cis 4 series. Attempted purification of the half-methyl ester, 2 -carbome-thoxy-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (4, X $=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), by distillation or sublimation resulted in elimination of methanol and formation of the anhydride. The half-methyl ester 4 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) could be purified by recrystallization from a hydrocarbon solvent; however, the crystalline compound slowly formed anhydride on standing at room temperature.

Trans half-methyl ester $5\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ was converted to the amide-ester which was hydrolyzed to trans amide-acid 5 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ ). Reaction of cis half-methyl ester 4 ( $\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) under the same conditions gave only the corresponding imide.

Using the method of $\mathrm{McCoy}^{20 \mathrm{~b}}$ the mixture of methyl 2. cyano-cis- and -trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylates was obtained and separated by distillation. While hydrolysis of the trans cyano ester gave cyano acid $5(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN})$, the cis cyano ester yielded an amorphous solid which we were unable to purify by recrystallization or sublimation. This impure cis cyano acid $4(X=C N)$ decomposed on standing at room temperature giving the cis imide and the anhydride.

Each of these several observations led us to conclude that the X and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ groups in $\mathbf{4}$ were in closer proximity to one another than that found in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ series,

Identical Hunsdiecker reactions ${ }^{21}$ with half-methyl esters 4 and $5\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ produced essentially the same mixture of the isomeric bromo esters, methyl 1-bromo-cis- and -trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylates, in a 1:3 ratio. These were separated and hydrolyzed to their respective acids 4 and $5(X=B r)$.

## Acid Dissociation Constants and Discussion

The thermodynamic $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values and their standard deviations for the series $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ were determined in water at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and are listed in Table I. In general the standard deviations were less than 0.01; however, a trend in the standard deviation can be seen. As the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's approach a value of 3 from larger values, the standard deviations increased. This was consistent with the statement of Albert and Sargeant that "accurate results cannot be expected if the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is less than the negative logarithm of the concentration". ${ }^{22}$ While standard deviation is a measure of precision, we believe that this increase in standard deviation is also indicative of some deterioration in accuracy as the measured $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ approaches 3 . Although some of the larger

Table II. $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ Values of Certain 2-Substituted Cyclopropane-1carboxylic Acids in Water at $25.00 \pm 0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Substituent X | Acid series |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trans | Cis | [3.1.0] | [2,1.0] | [1.10] |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| H | 0.000 | 0,000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | 0.862 | c | 0.288 | 0.734 | 0.80 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.032 | 1.019 | 0,912 | 0.528 | 1.21 |
| Br | 1,187 | 1.276 | 0,851 | c | $c$ |
| CN | 1.534 | c | 1.163 | 1.115 | $c$ |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {a }}$ | 1,032 | 0.742 | 1.459 | 1,628 | 1.05 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-b}$ | 0.033 | -1.059 | -1.714 | -2.297 | -0.13 |

$a_{\text {Statistically corrected by subtraction of } \log 2 \text { from the observed }}$ $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot{ }^{b}$ Statistically corrected by addition of $\log 2$ to the observed $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot{ }^{c}$ Compounds not available.
standard deviations might have been reduced by using more concentrated acid solutions, the corrections required for this change plus possible solubility problems would have placed additional uncertainties on the measurements.

The accuracy of the individual $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in Table I was considered to be good (see Experimental Section for comparisons with known $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values). For our internal comparisons, $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are employed which should be more accurate than the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. The maximum expected error in the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values was calculated to be $\pm 0.04$ using the largest standard deviation, and $\pm 0.015$ using typical standard deviations. Likewise, our values for $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{2}$ for the diacids $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) show good agreement with those reported by McCoy ${ }^{7 a}$ considering the difference in the method of measurement.

The $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values $\left[\log \left(K_{\mathrm{X}} / K_{\mathrm{H}}\right)\right]$ for these five series of acids are listed in Table II. Since these values are internally referenced to the unsubstituted acid ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ ) within that series, we will denote these as $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ values to differentiate them from an externally compared set to be introduced later. Plots of these $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ values vs. $\sigma_{1}$ constants are shown in Figure 1; the $\sigma_{1}$ constants used were $\mathrm{H}(0.00), \mathrm{CONH}_{2}(0.27), \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (0.33), $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}(0.34), \mathrm{Br}(0.45), \mathrm{CN}(0.58)$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $(-0.17) .{ }^{23}$ Regression analysis of the data in Table II as plotted vs. $\sigma_{1}$ constants in Figure 1 is given in Table III.

It is apparent in Table III that when all data points are used (correlation 1), three of the five series, trans 5 , cis 4 , and [1.1.0] 1 , yield reasonably good correlations with $\sigma_{1}$ constants. However, the "absence" of intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the trans $\mathbf{5}$ and [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ half-ionized diacids compared to cis $\mathbf{4}$ half-ionized diacid shows up in comparing the $\Delta \rho_{1}$ values of these three series between correlations 1 and 2 : positive for the cis 4 , [3.1.0] 3 , and [2.1.0] 2 series, but slightly negative for both the trans 5 and [1.1.0] 1 series.

In correlation 2 of Table III, all of the $\mathrm{p} K_{2}$ values of the five series of diacids $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$and the $\mathrm{p} K_{\text {| }}$ values of the [3.1.0]

Table III. Regression Analyses of $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ Values vs. $\sigma_{\mathrm{I}}$ Constants

| Series | Correlation $1 a$ |  |  | Correlation $2 b$ |  |  | Correlation $3 c$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Slope, $\rho_{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{cc}^{d}$ | No.e | Slope, $\rho_{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{cc}^{d}$ | No. ${ }^{e}$ | Slope, $\rho_{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{cc}^{\text {d }}$ | No. ${ }^{e}$ |
| Trans 5 | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | 0.976 | 7 | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ | 0.988 | 6 | $2.2 \pm 0.2$ | 0,976 | 7 |
| Cis 4 | $3.5 \pm 0.4$ | 0.980 | 5 | $2,8 \pm 0.4$ | 0.980 | 4 | $2.8 \pm 0.4$ | 0.980 | 4 |
| [3.1.0] 3 | $3,6 \pm 0,9$ | 0.881 | 7 | $2.1 \pm 0.5$ | 0.934 | 5 | $2.1 \pm 0.5$ | 0.934 | 5 |
| [2,1.0] 2 | $4.4 \pm 1.4$ | 0.849 | 6 | $1.9 \pm 0.4$ | 0.953 | 4 | $1.9 \pm 0.4$ | 0,953 | 4 |
| [1.1.0] 1 | $2.6 \pm 0.4$ | 0.974 | 5 | $3.3 \pm 0.3$ | 0.990 | 4 | $2.6 \pm 0.4$ | 0.974 | 5 |

${ }^{a}$ All data points in T able II were used. ${ }^{b}$ The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$points for all series and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ points in the [3.1.0] 3 and [2.1.0] 2 series were omitted. ${ }^{c}$ The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ points in [3.1.0] 3 and [2.1.0] series and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-$ point in the cis 4 series were omitted. ${ }^{d}$ Correlation coefficient. ${ }^{e}$ Number of data points.

3 and [2.1.0] 2 series ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) were omitted for different reasons. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$was the only charged substituent group investigated. It appeared reasonable that the full negative charge could significantly alter the properties of the solvent (e.g., structure) in the relatively small spatial region between the substituent and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group in these molecules compared to that space (largely molecular skeleton of low dielectric constant) in the substrates used to define $\sigma_{1}$ constants. The $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ values of the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ and [2.1.0] $\mathbf{2}$ series were omitted since intramolecular hydrogen bonding is the dominant effect in these diacids. For these reasons, we believe that correlation 2 is the best and most reasonable treatment of these data.

Correlation 3 in Table III reintroduces the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$substituent effect into the trans $\mathbf{5}$ and [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ series over that found in correlation 2.

It is interesting to note that the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$group had essentially no effect (same as H ) on the second ionization constant of the trans 5 and [1.1.0] 1 diacids when statistically corrected. Thus, in these two series the $\sigma_{1}$ constant for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$group would be better represented as 0.00 . This was unusual even though the cyclopropane ring is known not to effectively transmit substituent group effects, ${ }^{24}$ and gives further credence to the above suggestion concerning correlation 2 that the $\sigma_{1}$ constant of the charged substituent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$is ill-defined for the proximity effects in the molecules.

Substituent Effects of $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. We will first deal with the substituent effects in the five acid series where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. These substituent effects involve smaller changes in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values compared to those in the diacids' $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{2}$ values and the carboxamides. Since several factors are undoubtedly operating at the same time on each acid in the same or in different directions, the following discussion will be qualitative and somewhat biased by what we presently believe to be the specific factors which adequately explain the data.

We believe that four factors or effects may be involved in comparisons of the data sets for these five series of acid $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values: (1) field effects, (2) intramolecular hydrogen bonding, (3) hybridization changes in the ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ bond, and (4) steric effects. While effects $1-3$ have considerable literature support, we believe that some comment is necessary about the possibility of factor 4 , steric effects, in these cyclopropane systems.

Our concern about the possible involvement of steric effects in these acids arises from the known conformation preference ( $17.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from ab initio calculations $)^{25}$ for the bisected form vs. the perpendicular conformer in the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation. Thus, the bisected conformer of cyclopropanecarboxylic acid should be acid weakening while, in the perpendicular rotamer, the full acid strengthening effect of the strained cyclopropane ring would be felt. That this may not be a neglible effect is seen in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ data of Table IV. In the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the cycloalkylamines we see the magnitude of the acid strengthening (base weakening) effect of the cyclopropyl group. ${ }^{26}$ However, in the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the cycloalkanecar-

Table IV. Dissociation Constants of Certain Cycloalkane Acids and Bases $^{\text {a }}$

|  | pK |  | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Cyclopropanecarboxylic | $4.83 a$ | Cyclopropylamine | $8.66^{b}$ |
| acid |  |  |  |
| Cyclobutanecarboxylic <br> acid | $4.79 a$ | Cyclobutylamine | $9.34 b$ |
| Cyclopentanecarboxylic <br> acid | $4.99 a$ | Cyclopentylamine | $9.95^{b}$ |

$a^{H_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { (M. Kilpatrick and J. G. Morse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., }}$ 75, 1854 (1953)). $b 50 \%$ EtOH (J. D. Roberts and V. C. Chambers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 5030 (1951)).


Figure 1. Linear free-energy relationships of $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{H}$ values of the five series of acids, 1-5, vs. $\sigma_{1}$ constants. ${ }^{23}$ The legend is as follows: ( $O$ ) trans 5 ; ( $\Delta$ ) $\operatorname{cis} 4\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{H}}+0.75\right)$; (口) $[3.1 .0] 3\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}+1.50\right)$; ( $)$ [2.1.0] 2 $\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}+2.25\right)$; (■) [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}\left(\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}+3.00\right)$. Slopes are those calculated in correlation 2, Table III.
boxylic acids, the net effect of changing from a four- to a three-membered ring is reversed and we see that cyclopropanecarboxylic acid is a weaker acid than is cyclobutanecarboxylic acid. Considering the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the amines and the observed $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of five- to four-ring carboxylic acids, we suggest that this bisected acid weakening interaction in the cyclopropanecarboxylic acid is $\geq 0.25 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit (see later discussion and ref 41).

As substituent groups ( X ) replace the hydrogen at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ of the ring cis to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group in cyclopropanecarboxylic acid,
steric repulsion between X and the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in the bisected conformation is expected. This is seen in Dreiding models of cis-2-methylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid where the methyl C to carboxyl C distance is $2.88 \AA$. This is the same $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ distance as measured in Dreiding models of $o$-toluic acid where steric inhibition of resonance was recently established as a major contributor to the ortho proximity effect. ${ }^{27}$ Thus, a related steric effect in the two molecular systems should be observed although its magnitude will be attenuated in the cis-2-X-cyclopropanecarboxylic acids since the ring-to- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ effects will be different for the cyclopropane and benzene systems; the poor transmission of electrical effects via the cyclopropane ring has been established. ${ }^{24}$

When we compare the trans $5(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ and cis $4(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ acid $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, the cis 4 acid ( H cis to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) is found to be weaker than the trans 5 acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ cis to $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ by $0.21 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit. Although it is tempting to ascribe this to the steric effect described above, we must remember that this could only be so if the methyl group field effects (and other effects) cancelled (were the same) in this comparison (the field effects will be discussed below). The remaining changes in the $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Hp} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for the [3.1.0] 3 , [2.1.0] 2, and [1.1.0] 1 acids compared to that of cis $\mathbf{4}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ acid are considered to be due primarily to changes in the hybridization at ring $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.

In the Kirkwood-Westheimer equation for the field effect, ${ }^{2.3}$ comparison of the same substituent group in different geometric relationships to the ionizing $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group involves the factor ( $\cos \theta / R^{2} D_{\mathrm{E}}$ ). Changes in this factor would be expected to be most abrupt in the comparison of substituent effects between the trans 5 and cis 4 acid series. The angle $\theta$ is more acute and $R$ is larger in the trans $5(\mathrm{X} \neq \mathrm{H})$ than the cis 4 acids ( X $\neq H$ ); the opposite is true for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ where we have a cis and trans $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ effect, respectively, on 1-methylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$. While values for $\theta$ and $R$ in both systems could be arrived at reasonably, values for the effective dielectric, $D_{\mathrm{E}}$, would be speculative at this point, and it is probably the most significant part of the entire factor. In the trans 5 series ( $\mathrm{X} \neq \mathrm{H}$ ), the field effect is probably partially transmitted through molecular structure ( $D_{\mathrm{E}} \simeq 4$ ) and partially through the medium $D_{\mathrm{E}}=80\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. In the cis 4 series $(\mathrm{X} \neq \mathrm{H}), D_{\mathrm{E}}$ may be larger than that in the trans 5 series.

From the relationship: ${ }^{28}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(K_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{5} / K_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{4}\right)=\frac{e \mu}{2.3 k T}\left[\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{R^{2} D_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)_{5}-\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{R^{2} D_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)_{4}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we expect that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{R^{2} D_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)_{5}>\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{R^{2} D_{\mathrm{E}}}\right)_{4} \text { for } \mathrm{X} \neq \mathrm{H} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

primarily due to the larger $D_{\mathrm{E}}$ in the cis 4 series $(\mathrm{X} \neq \mathrm{H})$.
Moving to the $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ comparison offers somewhat of a simplification in that steric effects between trans 5 and cis 4 bromo acids are removed since the steric size of $\mathrm{Br} \simeq \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. In the trans $5(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ acids the cis $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ steric effect is constant. The $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=1.187$ in trans $5(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ is therefore due to the field effect of Br in this geometric relationship to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group. In the cis 5 acid series the change from X $=\mathrm{H}$ to $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ involves two major changes: (1) the steric effect and (2) the field effect of cis Br and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ contribute to this $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}=1.276$.

Proceeding to the [3.1.0] 3 series where the cis $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's of cis 4 are constrained into a five-membered ring, we see that the substitution of Br for H at bridgehead $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ has a considerably smaller acid strengthening effect ( $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}$ values) than the same change in the cis 4 series: $\left[\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{3})-\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{4})\right]^{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}}$ $=-0.42$. The acid weakening effect observed in this structural change may be due to several factors: (1) relief of steric interaction between the Br and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ groups, (2) a reduced field effect by Br since $\theta$ and $R$ are larger in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ series even
assuming the $D_{\mathrm{E}}$ is constant, and (3) intramolecular hydrogen bonding from $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ to Br in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ bromo acid,

At this point, a brief comment on the CN effect is worthwhile since intramolecular hydrogen bonding from $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ to the $\pi$ orbitals of CN is not considered important (to be discussed later). The $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ values of the [3.1.0] 3 and [2.1.0] $2(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN})$ cyano acids show only a minor change which we attribute to a minor change in the field effect (less in [2.1.0] 2 series). If this is correct the steric effect between $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and CN in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ cyano acid is no longer present, That a steric effect was a major factor in the cis 4 cyano acid was seen in its transformation to the imide on standing.

Thus, we argue that in the bromo acids the steric effect in the cis $\mathbf{4}$ is relieved (partially or totally) in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ bromo acid and possibly accounts for the major amount of the 0.42 change observed. Some intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the [3.1.0] 3 bromo acid cannot be presently ruled out,

Although only three of the cyano acids ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CN}$ ) are available for comparison, their substituent effects are of interest as a standard for the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding, Murray and Schneider ${ }^{29}$ explained complex formation between nitriles and hydrogen chloride or chloroform as involving a linear, "end-on" attachment of the acid and the nitrogen of the cyano group, e.g. $\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}$. It would be impossible to form such an intramolecular hydrogen bond in any of the five acid series studied in this investigation without very large molecular distortions. Furthermore, hydrogen bonding to the cyano groups $\pi$ cloud should be much weaker than with the nonbonded electron pair in nitrogen. ${ }^{29}$ This led to the above conclusion that steric effects between CN and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ cyano acid were absent. The approximately equal field effect of CN in the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ and $[2,1.0] 2$ cyano acids is graphically shown in Figure 2 where the slope of the line connecting these points is very similar to that for these bicyclic acids, $X=H$. Thus, the increased acidity of the [2.1.0] cyano acid compared to the [3.1.0] cyano acid is explained by the hybridization change at $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.

In the ester acids ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), the acid strengthening effect of replacing $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ by $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is only slightly smaller ( $0.01 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit) in cis 4 compared to trans 5 . From eq 2 we would expect this difference to be larger based on the field effect alone. Although one would normally assign a smaller steric size to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ compared to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ vs. $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon hybridization), we suggest that the opposite is true in the present examples. This could result from both carbonyl groups $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ seeking the bisected conformation with respect to the cyclopropane ring, thus increasing the steric size of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in cis 4 over that of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in trans 5. This acid strengthening effect felt in cis $4\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ then compensates for the reduced field effect in this ester acid (compared to the trans 5 ester acid) leading to the observed results.

The reduced $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituent effect in the [3.1.0] 3 ester acid ( $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ of 0.1 ) compared to that of the cis 4 ester acid may be due to a smaller steric effect, a reduced field effect ( $\theta$ and $R$ increase assuming no change in $D_{\mathrm{E}}$ in this structural change), and/or some intramolecular hydrogen bonding. All three of these factors are acid weakening and can also be used to rationalize the greater change observed in the [2.1.0] 2 ester acid, $\left[\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{3})-\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{2})\right]^{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}}=0.4$. However, in the case of the [2.1.0] $\mathbf{2}$ ester acid we attribute most of this change to intramolecular hydrogen bonding since it is in this bicyclic structure where this effect is at a maximum in the diacids (to be discussed later).

Continuing to the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}$ for the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ ester acid, the abrupt change from the trend of acid weakening seen in the previous examples to acid strengthening is observed, $\left[\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{2})-\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{1})\right]^{\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}=-0.7 \text {. This marked }}$ change also is found when the $K_{1} / K_{2}$ ratios for the diacids are

Table V. Calculated Intramolecular Hydrogen Bonding Distances, $d_{1}$, in Cis 4, [2.1.0] 2, and [1,1.0] 1 Diacids Monoanions

|  | $C i s 4^{a}$ | $[2.1 .0] 2^{30}$ | $[1.1 .0] 1^{31 \mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{2}, \AA$ | 1.515 | 1.439 | 1.497 |
| $\alpha, \mathrm{deg}$ | 118 | 122 | 128 |
| $d_{1}(\mathrm{calcd}), \AA$ | 1.56 | 1.82 | 2.37 |

$a^{\text {Structural parameters used were those of cyclopropyl chloride; }}$ R. H. Schwendeman, G, D. Jacobs, and T. M. Krigas, J. Chem. Phys., 40, 1022 (1964).

Table VI. Experimental $K_{1} / K_{2}$ and $K_{1} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ Ratios for the Diacids and Half-Methyl Esters in Series $1-5 a$

| Acid series | $K_{1} / K_{2}$ | $K_{1} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Trans 5 | $40(34)^{\gamma a}$ | 2.0 |
| Cis 4 | $253(312)^{7 a}$ | 1.06 |
| $[3,1,0] 3$ | 5960 | 7.05 |
| $[2.1 .0] 2$ | 33700 | 25.2 |
| $[1.1,0] 1$ | 61 | 1.38 |

${ }^{a}$ The ratios are not statistically corrected.
compared in Table VI (to be seen in the next section). That this change was not expected is seen in the calculated $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance $\left(d_{1}\right)$ for the [2.1.0] 2 and [1.1.0] 1 diacids using McCoys equation: ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=d_{2}+2.96 \sin \left(\alpha-90^{\circ}\right)-2.54 \cos \left(\alpha+300^{\circ}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the structural parameters for bicyclo[2.1,0]pentane ${ }^{30}$ and bicyclo[1.1.0]butane ${ }^{31 a}$ and 1,3-dicyanobicyclo[1.1.0]bu$\operatorname{tane}\left(d_{2}=1.502 \AA, \alpha=124.6^{\circ}\right) . .^{31 \mathrm{~b}}$ Since $d_{1}$ for the [1.1.0] 1 system is less than $2.45 \AA$ discussed by McCoy as the optimum hydrogen bonding distance for the monoanions of diacids, ${ }^{\text { }}$ we expected to find a continuation in the acid weakening of the ester acids. Either the modeling parameters used in calculating $d_{1}$ in Table V for the [1.1.0] system are in error leading to an underestimate of $d_{1}^{32}$ or a special type of bicyclic ring to bridgehead substituent effect may be operating here. ${ }^{34}$ These points will be dealt with further in the next section on the diacids.

Dissociation Constants of Diacids. In comparing the dissociation constants, $K_{I}$ and $K_{2}$, of the diacids in these five series of structures, many of the effects or factors discussed in the above substituent eifects are subordinate to intramolecular hydrogen bonding. The major importance of this single factor is believed to be responsible for the large $K_{1} / K_{2}$ ratios listed in Table VI. The general trends observed in these ratios are seen in the $K_{\mathrm{I}} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ ratios which are also listed in Table VI.

Since intramolecular hydrogen bonding is geometrically impossible in the trans 5 diacid or its monoanion, it appeared reasonable to compare the effects of $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{2}$ in series 1-4 to those observed in trans 5 . These are referred to as $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}$ values and are listed in Table VII along with the related comparisons of the other substituent groups studied in this investigation.

It must be noted that the differences in substituent effects where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CN}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ have been discussed in the preceding section and substantial differences between the trans 5 and the remaining 1-4 series were pointed out. However, certain of the trends observed in the various substituent effects as the molecular skeleton was varied in these five acid series are also seen in the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{a}{ }^{5}$ values and their plot in Figure 2.

Figure 2 graphically shows the striking changes in $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\mathrm{p} K_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)$of the diacids. The $\mathrm{p} K_{1}$ and $\mathrm{pK} K_{2}$ values had their largest separation in the [2.1.0] 2 series which rapidly decayed as we proceeded to the [1.1.0] 1 series. This indicates that intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the half-


Figure 2. Plot of $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathbf{5}}$ values of the four acid series, 1-4, relative to that same substituent in trans 5 ; the values are from Table VII. The order of these series was chosen so that the X to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ distance increased from left to right for series 4-1 with the trans $\mathbf{5}$ series as a point of reference. The equal spacing between the acid series on the abscissa is arbitrary.

Table VII. $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{5}$ Values of Certain 2-Substituted Cyclopropane-1carboxylic Acids in Water at $25.00 \pm 0.01{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Substituent X | Acid series |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trans | Cis | [3.1.0] | [2.1.0] | [1.1.0] |
|  | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | I |
| H | 0.000 | -0.207 | -0.102 | 0.268 | 0.43 |
| $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | 0,000 |  | -0,676 | 0.140 | 0.375 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.000 | -0.220 | -0.222 | -0.236 | 0.616 |
| Br | 0.000 | -0.118 | -0.438 |  |  |
| CN | 0,000 |  | -0.473 | -0.151 |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 0.000 | -0,497 | 0.325 | 0.864 | 0.455 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 0.000 | -1.299 | -1.849 | -2.062 | 0.268 |

ionized forms of the diacids reaches a maximum in the [2.1.0] 2 series ( $K_{1} / K_{2}=33700$ ) and that the distance separating $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$in the [1.1.0] 1 series is now apparently too great for such stabilization through intramolecular hydrogen bonding, $K_{1} / K_{2}=61$.

This leads us back to our discussion of the effect of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ substituent in the previous section shown in the small $K_{\mathrm{I}} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ ratio in Table VI in the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ ester acid and the calculated hydrogen bonding distance in the [1.1.0] 1 diacidmonocarboxylate anion in Table V. We believe that the calculated intramolecular hydrogen bonding distance for the [1.1.0] 1 diacid-monocarboxylate anion given in Table V is approximately correct (based on the [1.1.0] hydrocarbon and its 1,3 -dicyano derivatives geometries). This is about $0.1 \AA$ less than that for optimum hydrogen bonding for monoanions of diacids ( $2.45 \AA$ ) suggested by McCoy. ${ }^{8.35}$ On this basis, we are left with the grand incongruity of having a system where the largest $K_{1} / K_{2}$ ratio is predicted to be found, but the smallest is actually observed (similar for the $K_{1} / K_{E}$ ratios, Table V1). We suggest that a potent conformational effect in this bicyclic system could account for this anomalous behavior.
To see if such an effect may be present in the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ acids, we have considered the change in hybridization of the ring $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ bond on the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for a series of carboxylic acids using the $J_{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling constants of the hydrocarbons


Figure 3. Plot of $J_{13 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}$ of certain hydrocarbons vs. the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of the corresponding carboxylic acids where $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ has replaced H on the hydrocarbon skeleton. The numbered points refer to the entries in Table VllI. The correlation line was determined from points (1)-(5).
(ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ) as a measure of this hybridization change (percent s character in the bond). ${ }^{36}$ These data are listed in Table VIII and are plotted in Figure 3.

Acids (1)-(5) in Table VIII were chosen to depict the influence of percent $s$ character in the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ bond on acidity maintaining the same degree of substitution at bridgehead $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. The observed correlation of $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ vs. $J_{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ at these bridgehead centers for (1)-(5) shown in Figure 3 is reasonable. However, the marked deviation by both of the 1 -bicyclo[1.1.0]butane acids (6) and (7) is obvious; both acids (6) and (7) are considerably weaker acids than expected by this relationship.

In Table VIII and Figure 3 the six- to three-membered cycloalkanecarboxylic acids (8)-(11) are included. While a meaningful correlation cannot be derived due to the close arrangement of (8)-(10) in Figure 3, it is reasonable to expect a similar correlation in these structures to that observed for (1)-(5). With this assumption cyclopropanecarboxylic acid is shown to be weaker than expected. We believe that this diagrammatically confirms our previously stated proposition of a ring $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ electronic interaction occurring in this three-membered ring acid which is acid weakening and is conformationally dependent (see previous section of Discussion).

Application of a similar argument to the further acid weakening in the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ acid $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ compared to that predicted in Figure 3 would suggest that alignment of the C p orbital of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ bond (large p character) ${ }^{37}$ would be the preferred conformation. ${ }^{38}$ This is shown in structure 15 and will be referred to as the perpendicular
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conformer. ${ }^{39}$ This conformation effectively rules out intramolecular hydrogen bonding between $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and X and a "normal" substituent effect is exerted by X . This would explain the equally good $\sigma_{1}$ correlations for all the data points in both the trans 5 and [1.1.0] 1 series (Figure 1 and correlation 1, Table III). The perpendicular conformation may also be preferred for various reasons in the carboxylate anion.

If we estimate that the $K_{1} / K_{2}$ ratio expected for the calculated (Table V) geometry of the [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ diacid is $10^{5}$, this requires that the above conformational effects must be equal to or greater than $\Delta G^{\circ}=-R T \ln \left[\left(K_{1} / K_{2}\right)_{\text {calcd }} /\left(K_{1} / K_{2}\right)_{\text {obsd }}\right]$ $=-4.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table VIII. $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ Values $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of Some Cyclic and Polycyclic Carboxylic A cids and $J^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ Values of the Corresponding Hydrocarbons

| Acids | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{Hz}^{a, m} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Bicyclo[2.2,1] heptane-1carboxylic | $4.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 142 |
| (2) Bicyclo[2.2.1] hept-2-ene1 -carboxylic | $4.68{ }^{\text {c }, ~ d ~}$ | 142 |
| (3) Benzobicy clo[2.2.1] hept-2-ene-1-carboxylic | $4.58{ }^{\text {c }, d}$ | 144 |
| (4) Cubanecarboxylic | $4.40{ }^{\text {d, }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $155{ }^{f}$ |
| (5) Bicyclo [1,1,1] pentane-1carboxylic | 4.09 g | 164 |
| (6) Bicyclo [1.1.0] butane-1carboxylic | $4.53 h$ | 205 |
| (7) Tricyclo [4.1.0,0 2,7]-heptane-1-carboxylic | $4.6{ }^{i}$ | 200 |
| (8) Cyclohexanecarboxylic | $4.90 j$ | 125 |
| (9) Cyclopentanecarboxylic | 4,99j | 128 |
| (10) Cyclobutanecarboxylic | $4.79 j$ | 136 |
| (11) Cyclopropanecarboxylic | $4.83 j$ | 161 |
| (12) Bicyclo[2.1.0] pentane-1carboxylić | $4.70 k$ | 1781 |

${ }^{a}$ J. B. Stothers, "Carbon-1 3 NMR Spectroscopy", A cademic Press, New York, N.Y., 1972, pp 333-334. ${ }^{b}$ Reference 3b. ${ }^{c}$ J. W. Wilt, H. F. Dabek, J. P. Berliner, and C. A. Schneider, J. Org. Chem., 35, 2402 (1970). d Determined in $50 \% \mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ corrected by sub. tracting the difference between the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of benzoic acid in that medium and $4.20\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ from the observed $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ ${ }^{e}$ T. W. Cole, C. J. Mayers, and L. M. Stock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 4555 (1974). fT,-Y. Luh and L. M. Stock, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 , 3712 (1974). g K. B. Wiberg and V. Z. Williams, J. Org. Chem. 35, 369 (1970). ${ }^{h}$ Reference $13 .{ }^{i} \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{L}$, Closs and L. E. Closs, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2022 (1963). ${ }^{j}$ Reference 4a. ${ }^{k}$ This work. ${ }^{l}$ Reference 36 a . ${ }^{m}$ For the hydrocarbon where H has replaced $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ of the acid.

We have approached this point using INDO calculations ${ }^{40}$ of bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic acid and its carboxylate anion in the perpendicular and bisected $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}-$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ in the same plane) conformations using the geometry found for 1,3 -dicyanobicyclo[1.1,0]butane for the [1.1.0] skeleton and the following bond angles and bond lengths for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$groups: $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angles, $120^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ angle, $104^{\circ} ; \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ length, $1.48 \AA ; \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ length, $1.23 \AA ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH}$ length, $1.31 \AA ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ length in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, $1.26 \AA$; and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ length, $0.97 \AA$. The results show that the perpendicular conformation is preferred in both the acid and carboxylate anion forms by 5.2 and $3.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Since coplanar, bisected arrangement of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ groups must occur for intramolecular hydrogen bonding (16), the sum of these energies ( $8.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) must be overcome. Thus, this quantum mechanical modelling of the system is at least in agreement with the observed results, and sufficient energy appears to be present in the above conformational arguments to overcome that for intramolecular hydrogen bonding. ${ }^{41}$

The [2.1,0] 2 acid $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ was included in Table III and Figure 3 as acid (12). In the case of the [2.1.0] 2 diacid ( $\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ) and half-methyl ester ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), the maximum $K_{1} / K_{2}$ and $K_{1} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ ratios are observed in this study (Table VI). We believe that in this structure the most important interaction of the bicyclic skeleton is that with the three-membered ring rather than with the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ zero bridge. Since the distance $d_{1}$ (Table V ) is quite short in the half-ionized diacid assuming the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ groups lie in the same plane, intramolecular hydrogen bonding can be maximized in this structure by rotation of the carboxyl-ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds so that these groups project out over the three-membered ring as in projection 17. This rotation would involve reduced steric interactions of the carboxyl groups with neighboring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds than the opposite
rotation projecting the carboxyl groups over the four-membered ring. Such a rotation will not only benefit the intramolecular hydrogen bonding by increasing the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ distance $\left(d_{1}\right),{ }^{8}$ but will also lock the $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ group in the bisected conformation relative to the three-membered ring, thus increasing its stability and decreasing $K_{2}$. Intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the half-ionized [1.1.0] diacid while spatially allowed as in structure 16 (see Table V) must overcome what we suggest is a significant $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ zero bridge interaction. The result is that related ring to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ interactions benefit intramolecular hydrogen bonding in the [2.1.0] system while reducing it to an unimportant factor in the [1.1.0] system.

Substituent Effects of $\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$. In the set of amide acids ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ ) only the one for the cis $\mathbf{4}$ series is missing. Ready formation of the imide in the attempted conversion of cis 4 ester acid ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) to the amide acid ( $\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ ) again demonstrated the close proximity of X and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ in the cis $\mathbf{4}$ series.

Referring to the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{H}$ values listed in Table II the maximum acid strengthening effects by the electronegative $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ group are felt in the trans 5 and [1.1.0] 1 series where intramolecular hydrogen bondings either in the acid or the carboxylate anions are argued to be absent (similar reasoning to that used in the previous section). This acid strengthening effect by $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ is most strongly attenuated in the [3.1.0] 3 amide acid with that in the [2.1.0] 2 amide acid being intermediate. These changes are graphically approximated in Figure 2 using the $\Delta \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{5}$ values.

Intramolecular hydrogen bonding should be the most important factor in considering the proximity effects in these amide acid series. ${ }^{35}$ Hydrogen bonding in the un-ionized amide acids will be principally of two types, either to carbonyl $O$ (18) or to amide $N(19)$, both being acid weakening effects. In the ionized carboxylate anion, the major acid strengthening effect will be $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \ldots-\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ hydrogen bonding (20).


Most regrettably in the comparison of these amide acids we lack the data for the cis $\mathbf{4}$ amide acid. However, the structurally related $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ effects in the ester acids of the available amide acids may serve as a reference point for the present discussion.

In the discussion of the [3.1.0] $\mathbf{3}$ ester acid relative to the trans 5 we attributed part of the small acid weakening effect ( $0.1 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit) to intramolecular hydrogen bonding. However, in this same comparison of the amide acids this acid weakening is more pronounced, $0.6 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ unit. From the structure of the [ $3,1,0$ ] system we do not believe that hydrogen bonding of the types shown in $\mathbf{1 9}$ or $\mathbf{2 0}$ can be considered since it is known that the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ is longer than the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance. ${ }^{35}$ Thus, we relate the increased acid weakening effect of $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ vs. $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ in the [3.1.0] acids to the increased basicity of the carbonyl O in the amide group. ${ }^{42}$

In the [2.1.0] system where intramolecular hydrogen bonding was maximum in these five series of diacids ( $K_{1} / K_{2}$ ) and ester acids ( $K_{1} / K_{\mathrm{E}}$ ), a return to the acid strengthening effect by the $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ seen in the trans $\mathbf{5}$ and [1.1.0] $\mathbf{1}$ amide acids was observed. The interpretation here is that the in-
creased distance separating $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$) in changing from the [3.1.0] to the [2.1.0] skeleton now allows both acid weakening (as in $\mathbf{1 8}$ and/or 19) and acid strengthening (as in 20) to balance each other.

## Epilogue

In some respects, the above discussion sections deal with the data presented in a "state of the art" manner in that considerable literature precedence has been laid for the conclusions reached. On the other hand, various portions of this discussion represent speculative interpretations based on "related" data obtained by others. It is the ardent hope of the authors that more structural information on these and other strained ring systems will soon be forthcoming so that conclusions which are in error can be pointed out and then ignored, and those that are correct can be used and "thrust home".

## Experimental Section ${ }^{43}$

cis-Cyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylic Anhydride. The title compound was prepared by the synthetic scheme described by Allinger and Tushaus. ${ }^{15 b}$ The same quantities and yields were obtained in the stepwise procedure down to the step for the preparation of 1,1,3,3cyclobutanetetracarboxylic acid. The procedure called for destroying traces of nitric acid by addition of formic acid. A fairly violent reaction ensued with addition of the formic acid with much evolution of nitrogen oxides. The isolated yield was then about half of that reported. ${ }^{15 \mathrm{~b}}$

A slight modification of the procedure allowed preparation of a larger yield of material. After removal of nitric acid under reduced pressure by heating on a steam bath, the tetracid product was extracted into ether without the addition of formic acid. Starting with 50.0 g of 7 -phenyl-6.8-dioxaspiro[3.5]nonane-2,2-dicarboxylic acid, $31 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of $1,1,3,3$-cyclobutanetetracarboxylic acid was produced.

Decarboxylation and formation of cis-cyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylic anhydride proceeded as described ${ }^{15 \mathrm{~b}}$ giving $11.76 \mathrm{~g}(46 \%)$ of the anhydride. The trans diacid ( $8.65 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ) was converted to dimethyl cyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $7.96 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) as described. ${ }^{15 b}$

Dimethyl 1-Bromocyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylate. cis-Cyclobu-tane-1,3-dicarboxylic anhydride ( $11.47 \mathrm{~g}, 81.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated under reflux in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(50 \mathrm{ml}$ ) for 1 h and the methanol was removed at reduced pressure. Thionyl chloride ( 25 ml ) was added, along with 4 drops of dimethylformamide (DMF), and the solution was warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . Excess thionyl chloride was removed at reduced pressure. Bromine ( $16 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the acid chloride-ester kept at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until evolution of hydrogen bromide had ceased. Excess bromine was removed at reduced pressure and the crude product was added slowly to 75 ml of chilled $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. After standing 24 h , the solvent was removed and the product was short-path distilled [ $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ )] giving $20.88 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$ of crude product which showed impurities by NMR spectroscopy. Fractionation using an $8-\mathrm{in}$. Vigreux column gave two fractions: bp $60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})(4 \mathrm{~g})$ and $75-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})(14.91 \mathrm{~g})$. The second fraction was the desired bromo diester and was obtained in $65 \%$ yield: IR (thin film) $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.18$ and $6.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ geminal to $\mathrm{Br}, 3$ ), 6.33 and $6.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right)$, and $6.6-7.7(\mathrm{~m}$, ring protons, 5). The product was indicated to be a cis/trans mixture from the two sets of methyl esters. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 38.27$; H, 4.42. Found: C, 38.45; H, 4.36.

Dimethyl Bicyclo[ 1.1.0]butane-1,3-dicarboxylate. (1) From Dimethyl 1-Chlorocyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylate. The method described by Hall and co-workers was employed. ${ }^{18}$ Dimethyl 1 -chlorocyclobu-tane-1,3-carboxylate ${ }^{17}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 ml of dry THF and $0.63 \mathrm{~g}(15 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium hydride ( $57 \%$ in oil) were stirred at 45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 3 h . The mixture was diluted with 100 ml of ether, filtered, and then washed with 200 ml of water. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated giving a liquid which crystallized upon standing. The product was recrystallized from hexane at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sublimed $\left[50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})\right.$ ] giving 1.332 g ( $80 \%$ ) of the desired product (lit. ${ }^{18}$ yield $61 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 57.5-58.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 1 \mathrm{R}$ (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ $\tau 6.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 6\right), 7.09$ ( m , exo ring protons, 2 ), and 8.55 ( m , endo ring protons, 2 ).
(2) From Dimethyl 1-Bromocyclobutane-1,3-dicarboxylate. The same procedure described above using the chloro diester as starting material was followed. ${ }^{18}$ The reaction appeared much slower than with the chloro diester; thus, 2 drops of terl-butyl alcohol was added to help speed the reaction. Heating of a mixture of $2.00 \mathrm{~g}(7.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dimethyl 1-bromocyclobuta ne-1,3-dicarboxylate and $0.7 \mathrm{~g}(20 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium hydride ( $57 \%$ in oil) in 20 ml of THF for 15 h at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave, after work-up, $0.605 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of the desired diester as identified by NMR spectroscopy.
3-Carbomethoxybicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic Acid. To 9.79 g ( 57.6 mol ) of dimethyl bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1,3-dicarboxylate in 40 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added dropwise over a 2 -h period at room temperature 3.80 g ( 57.6 mmol$)$ of KOH in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. After stirring an additional 2 h , the methanol was removed by flash evaporation and the salt was dissolved in 25 ml of water. The aqueous solution was extracted with ether to remove unreacted starting material $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \%)$, acidified to pH 3 , and extracted with three $100-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of ether. The extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the ether was removed leaving a white solid which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane giving $8.175 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of the product. An analytical sample was sublimed [ $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ]: $\mathrm{mp} 120.5-121.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Fluorolube mull) 2400-3200 (acid OH), 1720 (ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), and $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}($ acid $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 0.3(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 1\right), 6.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 7.05(\mathrm{~m}$, exo ring protons, 2), and 8.42 ( m , endo ring protons, 2). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 53.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.16$. Found: C, 53.80; H, 5.17.

Bicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1,3-dicarboxylic Acid. Dimethyl bicyclo[ 1.1 .0 ]butane-1,3-dicarboxylate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1.0 g ( 18 mmol ) of potassium hydroxide were stirred in 30 ml of methanol at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was removed by flash evaporation. The salt was diluted with 25 ml of water, saturated with NaCl , acidified to pH 3 , and extracted with four $50-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of ether. The extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated leaving a white solid which was recrystallized from ether-hexane giving $0.622 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$ of the desired diacid: $\mathrm{mp} 150-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; 1R (Fluorolube mull) 2400-3200 (acid OH ) and $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (br $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$, internal $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ) $\tau-1.1$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 2$ ), 7.30 ( m , exo ring protons, 2 ), and 8.48 ( m , endo ring protons, 2). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 50.71; H, 4.26. Found: C, 50.50 ; H, 4.04
Methyl 3-Carbamoylbicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylate. 3-Carbomethoxybicyclo[ 1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4.50 ml ( 32 mmol ) of triethylamine were stirred together at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. This mixture was cooled to ice-bath temperature before addition of $2.65 \mathrm{ml}(33 \mathrm{mmol})$ of ethyl chloroformate. After stirring for 20 min anhydrous ammonia was bubbled through the solution for 30 min ; the precipitate was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Only a small amount of compound was isolated from the filtrate. Water was added to the precipitate and this mixture was extracted with ether. Some material, neither ether nor water soluble, was isolated by filtration. This insoluble material proved to be the desired compound. Product isolated from the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and from the ether extraction was combined with the insoluble precipitate to give $1.48 \mathrm{~g}(30 \%)$ of the crude carbamoyl ester. An analytical sample was sublimed [ $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.01 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$ )]; mp $135-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; 1 R (Fluorolube mull) 3300 and $3260(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 1725(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and 1640 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 2.2-3.0\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2\right), 6.35$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3$ ), 7.17 ( m , exo ring protons, 2), and 8.53 ( m , endo ring protons, 2). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 54.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.85$. Found: C, 53.85; H, 5.92.

3-Carbamoylbicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic Acid. To 0.50 g ( 3.2 mmol ) of methyl 3 -carbamoylbicyclo[1,1.0]butane-1-carboxylate in 20 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added $0.3 \mathrm{~g}(5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in 15 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. The mixture was allowed to stand at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h after which it was concentrated, diluted with water, washed with ether, and acidified to pH 4 at which point a precipitate formed which was not soluble in ether or water. This precipitate was isolated by filtration and dried. Attempted recrystallizations from ethanol, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and water were not successful in dissolving all the material. Hot DMF proved to be the best solvent for recrystallization giving $0.28 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of the carbamoyl acid: $\mathrm{mp} 200-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR (Fluorolube mull) 3320 and $3150(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 2400-3000($ acid OH$), 1700(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1660(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 51.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.00$. Found: C. 51.20; H, 5.10.

Reaction of Methyl 3-Carbamoylbicyclo[ 1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylate with Phosphorus Oxychloride. Methyl 3-carbamoylbicyclo[1.1.0] butane-1-carboxylate ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $1.1 \mathrm{ml}(12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of
$\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in 20 ml of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ were stirred at $70-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min . At that time, evolution of gas had ceased and the starting material, initially insoluble, had all dissolved. The reaction mixture was eluted rapidly with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ over 100 g of alumina (neutral, activity 3 ). Heat was given off as the solution passed down the column. The residue remaining after concentration of the eluate was short-path distilled $\left[60-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ giving 0.44 g of colorless liquid. NMR spectroscopy showed this material not to be the desired product. From the IR and NMR spectra, this compound was assigned the structure methyl 1-chloro-3-cyanocyclobutanecarboxylate ( $50 \%$ ) which could arise from addition of hydrogen chloride across the zero-bridge bond in the desired bicyclobutane: ${ }^{13,44} 1 \mathrm{R}$ (thin film) $2220(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ and $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$, 3 ) and 6.5-7.4 ( m , ring protons, 5).
Methyl 3-Cyanobicyclo[1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylate, Methyl 1-chloro-3-cyanocyclobutanecarboxylate ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 2.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred with sodium hydride ( $57 \%$ in oil) ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 ml of THF at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The usual work-up after short-path distillation $\left[40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.001 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ gave $0.150 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of colorless liquid. The IR and NMR spectra agreed with those of the desired structure. The NMR spectrum showed the presence of approximately $18-20 \%$ impurity, probably starting material. The data for this compound are as follows: IR (thin film) $2050(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ and $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, internal $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ) $\tau 6.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 7.17$ ( m , exo ring protons, 2), and 8.35 (m, endo ring protons, 2). An a nalytical sample was not obtained due to the small amount of material.

3-Cyanobicyclo[ 1.1.0]butane-1-carboxylic Acid. Methyl 3-cyanobicyclo[1.1.0] butane-1-carboxylate ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ pure) was stirred with $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in 20 ml of $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After concentration, dilution with 20 ml of water, acidification to pH 3 , and extraction with ether, 100 mg of crude product was isolated from the ether extract. Recrystallization from ether-hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not help with the purification. Sublimation $\left[75-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ gave 55 mg of solid with about half of the original material remaining behind as a polymeric brown solid. The material that did sublime showed two $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ 's and two $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ 's in its 1 R spectrum. This material also turned brown on standing 2 days at room temperature. Thus, the cyano acid was not isolated in pure form.
trans-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Methyl trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ${ }^{19}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$; contaminated with $6 \%$ of its cis isomer) was stirred with 2.0 g ( 28 mmol ) of KOH in 25 ml of $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 1 h at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was concentrated, diluted with water, acidified to pH 2 , and extracted with ether. The ether extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the remaining liquid was short-path distilled $\left[50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ giving $1.24 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%)$ of the liquid trans acid (probably contaminated with $6 \%$ cis acid): IR (thin film) 2400-3300 (acid OH$)$ and $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau$ $-1.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}\right), 8.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.4-9.3[\mathrm{~m}$ (characteristic peaks at $\tau 8.74$ and 8.78 ), 6]. The NMR spectrum was quite similar to its trans ester. ${ }^{19}$ Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 63.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.83$. Found: C , 63.32 ; H, 8.90 .
cis-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Methyl cis-1,2dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ${ }^{19}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$; contaminated with $4 \%$ of its trans isomer) was stirred with $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(90 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in 35 ml of $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Product work-up was the same as described above for the trans isomer. The cis acid was shortpath distilled [ $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] giving $160 \mathrm{mg}(45 \%)$ of the liquid cis acid (probably contaminated with $4 \%$ of its trans isomer). Further purification of this material was not attempted: 1R (thin film) 2400-3300 (acid OH) and $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau-1.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 1\right), 8.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.4-8.6$ [m (characteristic peaks at $\tau 8.47$ and 8.53), 1], 8.75-8.95 [m (characteristic peaks at $\tau 8.80$ and 8.90 ), 4], and $9.55-9.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1)$. The NMR spectrum was quite similar to its cis ester. ${ }^{19}$ Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C , 63.14; H, 8.83. Found: C, 63.26; H, 8.91.

Dimethyl cis- and trans-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate. The procedure described by McCoy was followed. ${ }^{20 \mathrm{a} .45} \mathrm{~A}$ mixture of 5.0 g ( 41 mmol ) of methyl $\alpha$-chloropropionate, 8.0 g ( 80 mmol ) of methyl methacrylate, and $2.5 \mathrm{~g}(59 \mathrm{mmol})$ of sodium hydride ( $57 \%$ in oil) in 60 ml of DMF was stirred in a water bath at room temperature for 5 h until hydrogen evolution had ceased. The mixture was then filtered and concentrated by flash evaporation, and the product was short-path distilled $\left[90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ giving $4.65 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of colorless liquid. The NMR spectrum showed this liquid to be a $2: 1$
mixture of trans and cis isomers, respectively. Separation of the isomers was accomplished on a larger scale by fractional distillation using an annular spinning band column. The lower boiling [ $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] trans diester solidified at room temperature. When the head temperature increased by about $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the cis diester began distilling. A check of the remaining pot liquid at this point showed only the cis diester which was further purified by a short-path distillation. The NMR spectra of the cis and trans diesters agreed with those reported. ${ }^{46}$ The data for dimethyl trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate are as follows: IR (thin film) $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ); NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 6\right), 8.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2\right)$, and $8.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 6\right)$; mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e$ 186. The data for dimethyl cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate are as follows: IR (thin film) $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 6\right), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methylene proton cis to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's, 1$), 8.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 6\right), 9.37$ [d, $J=$ 4.5 Hz , methylene proton trans to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's, 1) ; mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 186$.
trans-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic Acid. Dimethyl trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 16.7$ mmol ) was stirred with $3.8 \mathrm{~g}(68 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for 3 days. After concentration, dilution with water, acidification, and continuous extraction with ether for $24 \mathrm{~h}, 2.10 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of crystalline acid was obtained. It was recrystallized from ether-hexane and sublimed $\left[100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right.$ ]: mp $228-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $\left..^{45} 229-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; ir (Fluorolube mull) 2400-3300 (acid OH) and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$.
cis-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic Acid. Dimethyl cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate ( $2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred with $3.5 \mathrm{~g}(62 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for 24 h . After concentration, dilution with water, acidification, and continuous extraction with ether, the crude product was treated with 10 ml of acetyl chloride at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Distillation $\left[60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]$ gave 1.0 g of the cis anhydride as white needles: $\mathrm{mp} 55-56^{\circ}$ (lit. ${ }^{45} 55-57$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); 1 R (thin film) 1825 and $1760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, external $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1), 8.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 6\right)$, and 8.85 [d, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ ). The NMR spectrum agreed with that reported. ${ }^{46}$

The cis anhydride was then hydrolyzed with water at $70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Ether extraction after acidification to pH 3 and saturating the solution with sodium chloride produced $1.04 \mathrm{~g}(59 \%)$ of crystalline acid which was recrystallized from ether-hexane: $\mathrm{mp} 115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{45} 115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

2-Carbomethoxy-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. To $12.96 \mathrm{~g}(69.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dimethyl trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopro-pane-1,2-dicarboxylate in 30 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added dropwise 38.3 ml of $1.86 \mathrm{~N}(71.5 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{KOH}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. The mixture was then allowed to stand for 48 h before concentration by flash evaporation and dilution with water. Unreacted starting material ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ ) was extracted with ether. The solution was then acidified to pH 2 , saturated with sodium chloride, and continuously extracted with ether for 16 h . The extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the product was short-path distilled [ $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] yielding 6.25 $\mathrm{g}(52 \%)$ of the trans half-ester which solidified upon standing. The product was recrystallized from ether-hexane and sublimed $\left[50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ( 0.01 mm ): $\mathrm{mp} 55.5-56.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ IR (Fluorolube mull) 2400-3300 (acid $\mathrm{OH}), 1725$ (ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}($ acid $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau-1.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 1\right), 6.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, 2), $8.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right)$, and $8.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right)$; mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 172$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 55.81 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.02. Found: C, 55.77; H, 7.10.

2-Carbomethoxy-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. To 19.65 g ( 106 mmol ) of dimethyl cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylate in 70 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was added 58 ml of $1.86 \mathrm{~N}(106$ mmol ) KOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ dropwise over a $1-\mathrm{h}$ period. The mixture was then allowed to stand at room temperature for 48 h . After concentration by flash evaporation and dilution with water, unreacted diester $(2.17 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%)$ was removed by extraction with ether. The mixture was then acidified to pH 3 and continuously extracted with ether for 5 h . The extract was dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated, and the residue was short-path distilled $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] giving 13.86 g of viscous liquid. The distillate was shown to be a mixture of the cis half-ester and cis anhydride from its IR spectrum. This crude mixture was heated under reflux in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ until the 1 R spectrum showed no remaining anhydride absorptions. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was recrystallized from cyclohex-ane-hexane to give $13.50 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ of the desired product. An analytical sample was obtained after additional recrystallizations: mp 56.5-57.5
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Fluorolube mull) 2600-3300 (acid OH ), 1725 (ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), and $1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}($ acid $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau-1.2$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 1\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methylene proton cis to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's, 1$), 8.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 6\right)$, and $9.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methylene proton trans to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's, 1 ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV . heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 172$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 55.81 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.02. Found: C, 55.92; H, 7.09.

Methyl 2-Bromo-cis- and -trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate. To $2.00 \mathrm{~g}(9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of red mercuric oxide in 20 ml of $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3}$ at $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise for a 6 -h period $2.00 \mathrm{~g}(11.6$ mmol ) of 2-carbomethoxy-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid and $1 \mathrm{ml}(19 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bromine in 20 ml of $\mathrm{BrCCl}_{3} .{ }^{47}$ After 6 h 0.5 g of additional mercuric oxide was added and the mixture was warmed to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the bromine color had disappeared. The mixture was filtered, concentrated, diluted with hexane, and filtered again. The product was short-path distilled [ $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ] yielding 1.15 $\mathrm{g}(47 \%)$ of the colorless, liquid bromo ester.

A second reaction was carried out using $2.00 \mathrm{~g}(11.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the isomeric trans half-ester using the same conditions and amounts of reactants as used above. Short-path distillation yielded $1.13 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$ of the bromo ester.

NMR spectra of the two reaction products showed them to both be a mixture of two components. GLPC analysis on a $10 \%$ Carbowax on Chromosorb W $8 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.25 \mathrm{in}$. column showed two components. Integration of the products from the first reaction showed a ratio of $72: 28$ and integration of the products from the second reaction showed a ratio of $75: 25$.

The two components were collected by GLPC on a $12 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.25 \mathrm{in}$. $10 \%$ Carbowax on Chromosorb W column. From the product derived from the two above reactions of 2-carbomethoxy-cis- and -trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid, there was 1.05 g of the first component and 0.425 g of the second component collected.

The first component was assigned the structure methyl 2 -bromo-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate: 1R (thin film) 1725 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ; \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.18$ [s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (geminal to Br ), 3], 8.44 [s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (geminal to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3] $8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{l})$, and $8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 1$ ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 206$ and 208.

The second component was assigned the structure methyl 2 -bromo-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate: IR (thin film) 1725 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) ;$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.17$ [s, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (geminal to Br ), 3], 8.64 [ $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (geminal to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3], $8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{l})$, and $9.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton trans to $\mathrm{Br}, 1$ ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ at $m / e 206$ and 208.

An analytical sample of the cis/trans mixture of isomers was collected by GLPC and short-path distilled for purification.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 40.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.35$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 40.63$; H, 5.42.

2-Bromo-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Methyl 2-bromo-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5.08$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred with $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in $80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ for 25 h at room temperature. The mixture was concentrated, diluted with water, acidified to pH 2 , and extracted with four $50-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of ether. The extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the ether was evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from hexane at dry ice temperatures giving $0.805 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%)$ of the trans bromo acid. The acid was further purified by sublimation [ $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})$ ]: $\mathrm{mp} 62.5-63.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film) 2400-3300 (acid OH) and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau-1.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 1\right), 8.05\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ (geminal to Br ), 3], $8.40\left[\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ (geminal to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3], $8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{l}$ ), and $8.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton trans to Br, 1). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 37.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.70$. Found: C, 37.27; H, 4.75 .

2-Bromo-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Hydrolysis of 0.425 g ( 2.05 mmol ) of the cis bromo ester as above for the trans bromo ester yielded approximately 0.2 g ( $50 \%$ ) of crystalline product. Hydrolysis of the cis ester appeared to be slower than the trans ester because a considerable amount of starting ester was recovered for the cis compound under the same hydrolysis conditions. The cis bromo acid was recrystallized from hexane at dry ice temperatures and sublimed several times $\left[50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]: \mathrm{mp} 86-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (thin film before it solidified) $2400-3400$ (acid OH ) and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ : C, 37.33; 4.70. Found: 37.48, H, 4.74.

Methyl 2-Carbamoyl-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate. To 2.00 g ( 11.6 mmol ) of 2-carbomethoxy-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid dissolved in 50 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added 1.77 ml ( 13 mmol ) of triethylamine. After stirring 5 min and cooling to ice bath temperature, 0.97 ml ( 13 mmol ) of ethyl chloroformate was added rapidly. After stirring for 15 min , anhydrous ammonia was bubbled through the solution for 0.5 h . A white precipitate formed immediately. After standing for 3 h the mixture was filtered and concentrated. The solid residue was recrystallized twice from ben-zene-hexane giving 0.925 g ( $46 \%$ ) of trans carbamoyl ester: mp 134.0-135.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 1 R (Fluorolube mull) 3230 and $3400(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 1735$ (ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1675 (carbamoyl $\mathrm{C}=0$ ), and $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamoyl); NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 4.0-4.4$ (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2$ ), 6.29 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3$ ), 8.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2$ ), 8.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3$ ), and $8.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right.$ ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV , direct insert) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e$ 171. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 56.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.65$. Found: C, 56.29; H, 7.79.
2-Carbamoyl-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Methyl 2-carbamoyl-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ( $0.825 \mathrm{~g}, 4.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrolyzed with excess KOH in $80 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. After concentration, dilution with water, and acidification to pH 3 , the solution was continuously extracted with ether for 24 h . The trans carbamoyl acid was isolated and recrystallized from ethyl acetate ( $0.305 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ): mp $195-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 1 \mathrm{R}$ (Fluorolube mull) 3320 and $3140(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 1700\left(\right.$ acid $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), and $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (carbamoyl $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 53.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.05 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.91$. Found: C, 53.62; H, 7.12; N, 8.90.
Attempted Preparation of Methyl 2-Carbamoyl-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate. The same quantities and reaction conditions were employed as used in the preparation of methyl 2 -carbamoyl-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate except that the starting material was the isomeric cis half-ester. The isolated product was recrystallized from benzene-hexane giving 0.43 g of white crystals. The 1 R and NMR spectra indicated that this product was cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboximide ( $45 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 149.5-150.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 1R (Fluorolube mull) $3200(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}), 1755$ and $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 8.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 6\right), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5$ Hz , ring proton cis to imide, 1), and 8.96 (d, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton trans to imide, 1). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 60.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52 ; \mathrm{N}$, 10.07. Found: C, 60.65 ; H, 6.69 ; N, 10.12 .

Methyl 2-Cyano-cis- and -trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate, ${ }^{20 \mathrm{~b}}$ Methacrylonitrile ( $6.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methyl $\alpha$-chloropropionate ( $12.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and sodium hydride ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) (mineral oil dispersion, $57 \%$ ) in 50 ml of DMF were allowed to react at $20-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Evolution of hydrogen had ceased after 3 h at which time the mixture was filtered, diluted with ether, and washed with water. The ether layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The product was short-path distilled [ $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ )] giving $10.00 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$ of colorless liquid. NMR spectral analysis showed the product to be approximately a $1: 1$ mixture of the cis and trans isomers, plus a small amount of impurities. Fractional distillation ( 9 mm ) using a semimicro platinum spinning band column successfully separated the two isomers. The trans isomer was collected from 80 to $82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3.62 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%)$ and only the cis isomer remained in the distillation pot after the head temperature reached $104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Short-path distillation of the pot residue yielded $3.01 \mathrm{~g}(20 \%)$ of the cis isomer.
The data for methyl 2 -cyano-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate are as follows: 1 R (thin film) $2230(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ and $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.44$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to CN , 1), and 8.80 (d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton trans to $\mathrm{CN}, 1$ ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 153$.
The data for methyl 2-cyano-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate are as follows: ir (thin film) $2230(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$ and $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$, internal $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \tau 6.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 3\right), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton cis to $\mathrm{CN}, 1), 8.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3\right), 8.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, 3 ), and 9.17 (d, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ring proton trans to $\mathrm{CN}, 1$ ); mass spectrum ( 70 eV , heated inlet) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$at $m / e 153$.

2-Cyano-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid, Methyl 2-cyano-trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ( $3.63 \mathrm{~g}, 23.6$ mmol ) was stirred with $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in $90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ at room temperature for 24 h and then heated under reflux for 0.5 h . The mixture was concentrated by flash evaporation, diluted with water, acidified to pH 3 , and extracted with ether. The ether extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the ether evaporated giving $2.65 \mathrm{~g}(80.6 \%)$ of trans cyano acid after recrystallization from ether-hexane and sublimed $\left[100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.01 \mathrm{~mm})\right]: \mathrm{mp} 96-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 1R (Fluorolube mull)

2300-3300 (acid OH), $2240(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N})$, and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ : C, $60.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52$. Found: C, $60.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.68$.

2-Cyano-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic Acid. Methyl 2-cyano-cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate ( $3.01 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7$ mmol ) was stirred with $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of KOH in $90 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ at room temperature for 24 h . Concentration, dilution with water, acidification, and extraction with ether gave a viscous oil after removal of ether. The IR spectrum of the crude product showed impure cis cyano acid (nitrile absorption at $2240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and large amounts of impurities. The IR spectrum also showed the presence of cis-1,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1,2-dicarboxylic anhydride. Recrystallization from ether-hexane did not decrease the amounts of impurities. The impure crystalline material obtained appeared to decompose upon standing by changing to an amorphous mass. Sublimation did not increase purity either. cis-Dicarboxylic imide was also evident in the IR spectrum as one of the main decomposition products.

Determination of Dissociation Constants. The methods used for solvent handling, standardization, acid dissociation constants measurement, and data treatment were those previously described. ${ }^{48}$
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#### Abstract

Photolytic reaction of $2,4,6$-cyclooctatrienone with iron pentacarbonyl in benzene yields $\eta-2,3,4,5$-cyclooctatrienoneiron tricarbonyl (X1), whereas thermal reaction of the ketone with benzylideneacetoneiron tricarbonyl or 3-penten-2-oneiron tricarbonyl in benzeneat $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ results in trapping of the bicyclic diene tautomer of cyclooctatrienone as bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dien-7-oneiron tricarbonyl (IX). Detailed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR studies have been carried out to elucidate the structures of these complexes. Low temperature $\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ oxidative cleavage of IX gives bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dien-7-one which at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ undergoes ring opening to cyclooctatrienone with a first-order rate constant of $5.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \Delta G^{\ddagger}=20.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The equilibrium ratio of $2,4,6$-cyclooctatrienone to bicyclo[4.2.0]octadienone was estimated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ FT-NMR studies to be ca. 135. This value agrees with one estimated from the ratio of rate constants for the ring opening and ring closing reactions of the two tautomers. When treated with sodium methoxide-methanol, the bicyclic ketone complex IX undergoes ring opening to yield the monocyclic ((carbomethoxymethyl)cyclohexadiene)iron tricarbonyl XIV; protonation of the intermediate anion was observed to occur stereospecifically exo. Upon treatment with methyllithium the bicyclic ketone complex 1 X is converted to the tertiary alcohol. This alcohol undergoes base-induced ring cleavage to yield the monocyclic ketone, ((2-oxopropyl)cyclohexadiene) iron tricarbonyl (XVI), again with stereospecific exo protonation of the intermediate anion.


## Introduction

The reactions of cyclooctatriene and its derivatives with iron carbonyl reagents can lead to a variety of mononuclear cyclic polyolefin iron carbonyl complexes, the nature of which depends upon the triene derivative and the iron carbonyl reagent used as well as the reaction conditions, Early work by Stone showed that reaction of 1,3,5-cyclooctatriene with $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ leads only to the complex of the bicyclic tautomer, bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-dieneiron tricarbonyl (I), ${ }^{2}$ while reaction with $\mathrm{Fe}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ at lower temperatures (80-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) leads to mixtures of I plus cyclooctatrieneiron tricarbonyl


I


II
(II). ${ }^{3}$ Pure samples of II can be generated photolytically at room temperature employing cyclooctatriene and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}{ }^{4}$ In the equilibrium between the uncomplexed cyclooctatriene and bicyclooctadiene tautomers, the triene is favored over the diene by a ratio of $85: 15$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{5}$ however, in contrast for

